

RECOMENDACIÓN T.4

INFORME DE BÚSQUEDA Y SÍNTESIS DE EVIDENCIA DE EFECTOS DESEABLES E INDESEABLES Guía de Práctica Clínica Salud Oral Integral: Tratamiento y Rehabilitación Oral en Personas Adultas y Personas Mayores con Edentulismo Parcial o Total

A. PREGUNTA

En personas con edentulismo parcial unilateral ¿Se debe rehabilitar por medio de prótesis unilateral en comparación con rehabilitar con prótesis con extensión contralateral?

Población: Personas con edentulismo parcial unilateral.

Intervención: Rehabilitar por medio de prótesis unilateral.

Comparación: Rehabilitar con prótesis con extensión contralateral.

Desenlace (outcome): Efectos adversos.

B. BÚSQUEDA DE EVIDENCIA

Se realizó una búsqueda general de revisiones sistemáticas asociadas al tema de “Edentulism”. Las bases de datos utilizadas fueron: Cochrane database of systematic reviews (CDSR); Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE); HTA Database; PubMed; LILACS; CINAHL; PsycINFO; EMBASE; EPPI-Centre Evidence Library; 3ie Systematic Reviews and Policy Briefs Campbell Library; Clinical Evidence; SUPPORT Summaries; WHO institutional Repository for information Sharing; NICE public health guidelines and systematic reviews; ACP Journal Club; Evidencias en Pediatría; y The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and implementation Reports. No se aplicaron restricciones en base al idioma o estado de publicación. Dos revisores de manera independiente realizaron la selección de los títulos y los resúmenes, la evaluación del texto completo y la extracción de datos. Un investigador experimentado resolvió cualquier discrepancia entre los distintos revisores. En caso de considerarse necesario, se integraron estudios primarios.

Seleccionadas las revisiones sistemáticas o estudios primarios asociadas a la temática, se clasificaron en función de las potenciales preguntas a las que daban respuesta. Al momento de definir la pregunta la evidencia ya se encontraba previamente clasificada según intervenciones comparadas. Los resultados se encuentran alojados en la plataforma Living Overview of the Evidence (L-OVE), sistema que permite la actualización periódica de la evidencia.

C. SÍNTESIS DE EVIDENCIA

Resumen de la evidencia identificada

Se identificaron 9 revisiones sistemáticas que incluyen 92 estudios primarios, de los cuales 22 corresponden a ensayos aleatorizados que evalúan diferentes aspectos de las prótesis parciales. Para más detalle ver “*Matriz de evidencia*”¹, en el siguiente enlace: [Prótesis parciales removibles](#).

¹ **Matriz de Evidencia**, tabla dinámica que grafica el conjunto de evidencia existente para una pregunta (en este caso, la pregunta del presente informe). Las filas representan las revisiones sistemáticas y las columnas los estudios primarios que estas revisiones han identificado. Los recuadros en verde corresponden a los estudios incluidos en cada revisión. La matriz se actualiza periódicamente, incorporando nuevas revisiones sistemáticas pertinentes y los respectivos estudios primarios.

Tabla 1: Resumen de la evidencia seleccionada

Revisión Sistemática	9 [1-9]
Estudios primarios	22 ensayos aleatorizados [10-31] y 70 observacionales [32-101]

Además, se analizaron ocho artículos provistos por el equipo de expertos participantes del panel convocado para elaborar la guía, los cuales corresponden a dos revisiones narrativas [102,104], una síntesis rápida de la evidencia (*Rapid response report: Summary with critical appraisal*) [103], cuatro reportes de casos clínicos [105-108], y un estudio observacional retrospectivo [109]. También se revisaron las referencias citadas en estos artículos y se buscaron artículos que citaran a los artículos provistos, sin identificar nuevos estudios relevantes.

Estimador del efecto

Se realizó un análisis de la matriz de evidencia, observando que ninguna de las revisiones sistemáticas presenta una comparación, directa o indirecta, de la pregunta de interés. Si bien 3 estudios primarios comparan diferentes diseños de prótesis [10, 17, 20], ninguno evalúa contra la comparación específica de interés, por lo cual no es posible calcular un estimador del efecto; un estudio compara distintos conectores mayores [10]: un estudio compara distintos tipos de conectores menores [17]; un estudio compara diferentes retenedores directos [20].

Se decidió excluir parte de la evidencia entregada por los expertos debido a que tres de los artículos corresponden a síntesis de evidencia que no cumplen con los criterios para ser consideradas una revisión sistemática [102-104]. Por otra parte, los otros artículos correspondientes a reportes de casos no corresponden a estudios comparativos, no entregan información relevante ni aumentan la certeza de la evidencia.

Si bien no se encontraron estudios comparativos que evaluaran efectividad ni seguridad de ambas intervenciones, un artículo [109] realiza un análisis retrospectivo de 64 casos de prótesis dentales removibles deglutidas, evaluando los factores predisponentes relacionados al diseño y características propias de la prótesis. Se decidió considerar este estudio enviado por el panel de expertos para la construcción de la tabla de resumen de resultados, realizando un análisis de riesgo del uso de prótesis unilaterales.

Metanálisis

No aplica.

Tabla de Resumen de Resultados (Summary of Findings)

REHABILITAR POR MEDIO DE PRÓTESIS UNILATERAL COMPARADO CON REHABILITAR CON PRÓTESIS CON EXTENSIÓN CONTRALATERAL PARA EDENTULISMO PARCIAL UNILATERAL.			
Desenlaces	Efecto	Certeza de la evidencia (GRADE)	Mensajes clave en términos sencillos
Efectividad	No se identificaron estudios que comparan la efectividad de las intervenciones.	--	--
Efectos adversos	<p>Si bien no existen estudios comparativos, un estudio reportó 64 prótesis dentales removibles unilaterales deglutiadas e impactadas en el esófago. De ellas, 17 prótesis reemplazaban un solo diente, 32 a dos dientes, 5 a tres dientes y 8 a cuatro dientes. La mortalidad ocurrió en 3 casos (4,68%) como resultado de hemorragia masiva, fuga esofágica, empiema, peritonitis y septicemia.</p> <p>Debido a la mayor retención, soporte y estabilidad de la prótesis con extensión contralateral, este efecto adverso no debiera ocurrir.</p>	 ^{1,2,3} Baja	Rehabilitar por medio de prótesis unilateral probablemente aumenta el riesgo de deglución de la prótesis.

GRADE: Grados de evidencia Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.

¹Diseño observacional.

²Se aumentó un nivel de certeza de evidencia por efecto grande, ya que si bien, corresponde a estudios de series de casos, este efecto adverso no debiera ocurrir en el otro tipo de prótesis por lo que la diferencia es grande.

³Se disminuyó un nivel de certeza de evidencia por ser indirecta, ya que el estudio realiza un análisis retrospectivo de casos de prótesis unilaterales deglutiadas y no se realiza una comparación entre el riesgo de deglución de prótesis con extensión lateral. Además, las prótesis incluidas en el estudio no cumplen con los estándares de calidad de las prótesis dentales removibles realizadas en Chile.

Fecha de elaboración de la tabla: Enero, 2019.

Referencias

1. Ali Z, Baker SR, Shahrabaf S, Martin N, Vettore MV. Oral health-related quality of life after prosthodontic treatment for patients with partial edentulism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry.* 2018;
2. De Kok IJ, Cooper LF, Guckles AD, McGraw K, Wright RF, Barrero CJ, Bak SY, Stoner LO. Factors Influencing Removable Partial Denture Patient-Reported Outcomes of Quality of Life and Satisfaction: A Systematic Review. *Journal of prosthodontics : official journal of the American College of Prosthodontists.* 2017;26(1):5-18.
3. Elliot Abt, Alan B Carr, Helen V Worthington. Interventions for replacing missing teeth: partially absent dentition. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.* 2012;2(2):CD003814.
4. Fueki, Kenji, Yoshida, Eiko, Igarashi, Yoshimasa. A systematic review of prosthetic restoration in patients with shortened dental arches. *Japanese Dental Science Review.* 2011;47(2):167-174.
5. Khan S, Musekiwa A, Chikte UM, Omar R. Differences in functional outcomes for adult patients with prosthodontically-treated and -untreated shortened dental arches: a systematic review. *PloS one.* 2014;9(7):e101143.
6. Liang S, Zhang Q, Witter DJ, Wang Y, Creugers NH. Effects of removable dental prostheses on masticatory performance of subjects with shortened dental arches: A systematic review. *Journal of dentistry.* 2015;43(10):1185-94.
7. Moldovan O, Rudolph H, Luthardt RG. Biological complications of removable dental prostheses in the moderately reduced dentition: a systematic literature review. *Clinical oral investigations.* 2018;22(7):2439-2461.
8. Moldovan O, Rudolph H, Luthardt RG. Clinical performance of removable dental prostheses in the moderately reduced dentition: a systematic literature review. *Clinical oral investigations.* 2016;20(7):1435-47.
9. Pommer B, Krainhöfner M, Watzek G, Tepper G, Dintsios CM. Relevance of variations in the opposing dentition for the functionality of fixed and removable partial dentures: a systematic review. *International journal of dentistry.* 2012;2012:876023.
10. Akaltan, F., Kaynak, D.. An evaluation of the effects of two distal extension removable partial denture designs on tooth stabilization and periodontal health. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation.* 2005;32(11):823-829.
11. Andersson B, Taylor A, Lang BR, Scheller H, Schärer P, Sorensen JA, Tarnow D. Alumina ceramic implant abutments used for single-tooth replacement: a prospective 1- to 3-year multicenter study. *The International journal of prosthodontics.* 2002;14(5):432-8.
12. Au AR, Lechner SK, Thomas CJ, Mori T, Chung P. Titanium for removable partial dentures (III): 2-year clinical follow-up in an undergraduate programme. *Journal of oral rehabilitation.* 2000;27(11):979-85.
13. Bessadet M, Nicolas E, Sochat M, Hennequin M, Veyrune JL. Impact of removable partial denture prosthesis on chewing efficiency. *Journal of applied oral science : revista FOB.* 2013;21(5):392-6.
14. Budtz-Jørgensen E, Isidor F. Cantilever bridges or removable partial dentures in geriatric patients: a two-year study. *Journal of oral rehabilitation.* 1987;14(3):239-49.
15. Frank RP, Brudvik JS, Noonan CJ. Clinical outcome of the altered cast impression procedure compared with use of a one-piece cast. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry.* 2004;91(5):468-76.
16. Gunne J, Astrand P, Lindh T, Borg K, Olsson M. Tooth-implant and implant supported fixed partial dentures: a 10-year report. *The International journal of prosthodontics.* 2000;12(3):216-21.
17. Hosman HJ. Influence of clasp design of distal extension removable partial dentures on the periodontium of the abutment teeth. *The International journal of prosthodontics.* 1991;3(3):256-65.
18. Jepson N, Allen F, Moynihan P, Kelly P, Thomason M. Patient satisfaction following restoration of shortened mandibular dental arches in a randomized controlled trial. *The International journal of prosthodontics.* 2003;16(4):409-14.

19. Jepson NJ, Moynihan PJ, Kelly PJ, Watson GW, Thomason JM. Caries incidence following restoration of shortened lower dental arches in a randomized controlled trial. *British dental journal*. 2001;191(3):140-4.
20. Kapur KK, Deupree R, Dent RJ, Hasse AL. A randomized clinical trial of two basic removable partial denture designs. Part I: Comparisons of five-year success rates and periodontal health. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1994;72(3):268-82.
21. Kapur KK, Garrett NR, Dent RJ, Hasse AL. A randomized clinical trial of two basic removable partial denture designs. Part II: Comparisons of masticatory scores. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1997;78(1):15-21.
22. Larsson C, Vult von Steyern P, Sunzel B, Nilner K. All-ceramic two- to five-unit implant-supported reconstructions. A randomized, prospective clinical trial. *Swedish dental journal*. 2006;30(2):45-53.
23. McKenna G, Allen PF, Flynn A, O'Mahony D, DaMata C, Cronin M, Woods N. Impact of tooth replacement strategies on the nutritional status of partially-dentate elders. *Gerodontology*. 2012;29(2):e883-90.
24. McKenna G, Allen PF, O'Mahony D, Cronin M, DaMata C, Woods N. The impact of rehabilitation using removable partial dentures and functionally orientated treatment on oral health-related quality of life: a randomised controlled clinical trial. *Journal of dentistry*. 2015;43(1):66-71.
25. Morris HF. Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Project number 147: level of examiner reliability over seven years. *Implant dentistry*. 1993;2(4):245-9.
26. Morris HF. Veterans Administration Cooperative Studies Project No. 147. Part VI: Laboratory costs of castings from noble and alternative ceramic metal alloys. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1988;60(2):164-71.
27. Moynihan PJ, Butler TJ, Thomason JM, Jepson NJ. Nutrient intake in partially dentate patients: the effect of prosthetic rehabilitation. *Journal of dentistry*. 2000;28(8):557-63.
28. Thomas CJ, Lechner S, Mori T. Titanium for removable dentures. II. Two-year clinical observations. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1997;24(6):414-8.
29. Thomason JM, Moynihan PJ, Steen N, Jepson NJ. Time to survival for the restoration of the shortened lower dental arch. *Journal of dental research*. 2007;86(7):646-50.
30. Vigolo P, Givani A, Majzoub Z, Cordioli G. A 4-year prospective study to assess peri-implant hard and soft tissues adjacent to titanium versus gold-alloy abutments in cemented single implant crowns. *Journal of prosthodontics : official journal of the American College of Prosthodontists*. 2006;15(4):250-6.
31. Wolfart S, Marré B, Wöstmann B, Kern M, Mundt T, Luthardt RG, Huppertz J, Hannak W, Reiber T, Passia N, Heydecke G, Reinhardt W, Hartmann S, Busche E, Mitov G, Stark H, Pospiech P, Weber A, Gernet W, Walter MH. The randomized shortened dental arch study: 5-year maintenance. *Journal of dental research*. 2012;91(7 Suppl):65S-71S.
32. Abuzar MA, Kahwagi E, Yamakawa T. Investigating oral health-related quality of life and self-perceived satisfaction with partial dentures. *Journal of investigative and clinical dentistry*. 2012;3(2):109-17.
33. Aras K, Hasanreisoğlu U, Shinogaya T. Masticatory performance, maximum occlusal force, and occlusal contact area in patients with bilaterally missing molars and distal extension removable partial dentures. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2009;22(2):204-9.
34. Arce-Tumbay J, Sanchez-Ayala A, Sotto-Maior BS, Senna PM, Campanha NH. Mastication in subjects with extremely shortened dental arches rehabilitated with removable partial dentures. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2011;24(6):517-9.
35. Armellini DB, Heydecke G, Witter DJ, Creugers NH. Effect of removable partial dentures on oral health-related quality of life in subjects with shortened dental arches: a 2-center cross-sectional study. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2008;21(6):524-30.
36. AuAR, Lechner SK, Thomas CJ, Mori T, Chung P. Titanium for removable partial dentures (III): 2-year clinical follow-up in an undergraduate programme. 2000;

37. Bae KH, Kim C, Paik DI, Kim JB. A comparison of oral health related quality of life between complete and partial removable denture-wearing older adults in Korea. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 2006;33(5):317-22.
38. Balevi B. No difference in the 5-year survival rates between the resin-bonded cantilever bridge and the removable partial denture for the restoration of the shortened dental arch. *Evidence-based dentistry*. 2008;9(4):105-6.
39. Behr M, Kolbeck C, Lang R, Hahnel S, Dirsch L, Handel G. Clinical performance of cements as luting agents for telescopic double crown-retained removable partial and complete overdentures. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2009;
40. Bergman B, Ericson G. Cross-sectional study of patients treated with removable partial dentures with special reference to the caries situation. *Scandinavian journal of dental research*. 1986;94(5):436-42.
41. Bergman B, Ericson G. Cross-sectional study of the periodontal status of removable partial denture patients. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1989;61(2):208-11.
42. Bergman B, Hugoson A, Olsson CO. A 25 year longitudinal study of patients treated with removable partial dentures. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1995;22(8):595-9.
43. Bergman B, Hugoson A, Olsson CO. Caries and periodontal status in patients fitted with removable partial dentures. *Journal of clinical periodontology*. 1977;4(2):134-46.
44. Bergman B, Hugoson A, Olsson CO. Caries, periodontal and prosthetic findings in patients with removable partial dentures: a ten-year longitudinal study. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1982;48(5):506-14.
45. Bergman B, Hugoson A, Olsson CO. Periodontal and prosthetic conditions in patients treated with removable partial dentures and artificial crowns. A longitudinal two-year study. *Acta odontologica Scandinavica*. 1971;29(6):621-38.
46. Budtz-Jørgensen E, Isidor F. A 5-year longitudinal study of cantilevered fixed partial dentures compared with removable partial dentures in a geriatric population. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1990;64(1):42-7.
47. Celebić A, Knežović-Zlatarić D. A comparison of patient's satisfaction between complete and partial removable denture wearers. *Journal of dentistry*. 2003;31(7):445-51.
48. de Siqueira GP, dos Santos MB, dos Santos JF, Marchini L. Patients' expectation and satisfaction with removable dental prosthesis therapy and correlation with patients' evaluation of the dentists. *Acta odontologica Scandinavica*. 2013;71(1):210-4.
49. Dittmann B, Rammelsberg P. Survival of abutment teeth used for telescopic abutment retainers in removable partial dentures. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2008;21(4):319-21.
50. Ericson A, Nilsson B, Bergman B. Clinical results in patients provided with conical crown retained dentures. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 1990;3(6):513-21.
51. Frank RP, Brudvik JS, Leroux B, Milgrom P, Hawkins N. Relationship between the standards of removable partial denture construction, clinical acceptability, and patient satisfaction. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 2000;83(5):521-7.
52. Frank RP, Milgrom P, Leroux BG, Hawkins NR. Treatment outcomes with mandibular removable partial dentures: a population-based study of patient satisfaction. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1998;80(1):36-45.
53. Fueki K, Igarashi Y, Maeda Y, Baba K, Koyano K, Akagawa Y, Sasaki K, Kuboki T, Kasugai S, Garrett NR. Factors related to prosthetic restoration in patients with shortened dental arches: a multicentre study. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 2011;38(7):525-32.
54. Fueki K, Igarashi Y, Maeda Y, Baba K, Koyano K, Sasaki K, Akagawa Y, Kuboki T, Kasugai S, Garrett NR. Effect of prosthetic restoration on oral health-related quality of life in patients with shortened dental arches: a multicentre study. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 2015;42(9):701-8.
55. Germundsson B, Hellman M, Odman P. Effects of rehabilitation with conventional removable partial dentures on oral health--a cross-sectional study. Part II. A comparative study of treatment results at

- two Public Dental Clinics and the Faculty of Odontology in Gothenburg. *Swedish dental journal*. 1985;9(6):233-40.
- 56. Germundsson B, Hellman M, Odman P. Effects of rehabilitation with conventional removable partial dentures on oral health--a cross-sectional study. *Swedish dental journal*. 1984;8(4):171-82.
 - 57. Gunne HS. The effect of removable partial dentures on mastication and dietary intake. *Acta odontologica Scandinavica*. 1985;43(5):269-78.
 - 58. Hassel AJ, Rolko C, Grossmann AC, Ohlmann B, Rammelsberg P. Correlations between self-ratings of denture function and oral health-related quality of life in different age groups. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2007;20(3):242-4.
 - 59. Hummel SK, Wilson MA, Marker VA, Nunn ME. Quality of removable partial dentures worn by the adult U.S. population. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 2002;88(1):37-43.
 - 60. Isidor F, Budtz-Jørgensen E. [Periodontal conditions following treatment with cantilever bridges or removable partial dentures in geriatric patients. A 2-year study]. *Gerodontics*. 1987;3(3):117-21.
 - 61. Isidor F, Budtz-Jørgensen E. Periodontal conditions following treatment with distally extending cantilever bridges or removable partial dentures in elderly patients. A 5-year study. *Journal of periodontology*. 1990;61(1):21-6.
 - 62. Jemt T, Hedegård B, Wickberg K. Chewing patterns before and after treatment with complete maxillary and bilateral distal-extension mandibular removable partial dentures. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1983;50(4):566-9.
 - 63. Kapur KK. Veterans Administration Cooperative Dental Implant Study--comparisons between fixed partial dentures supported by blade-vent implants and removable partial dentures. Part II: Comparisons of success rates and periodontal health between two treatment modalities. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1989;62(6):685-703.
 - 64. Koyama S, Sasaki K, Yokoyama M, Sasaki T, Hanawa S. Evaluation of factors affecting the continuing use and patient satisfaction with Removable Partial Dentures over 5 years. *Journal of prosthodontic research*. 2010;54(2):97-101.
 - 65. Kuboki T, Okamoto S, Suzuki H, Kanyama M, Arakawa H, Sonoyama W, Yamashita A. Quality of life assessment of bone-anchored fixed partial denture patients with unilateral mandibular distal-extension edentulism. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1999;82(2):182-7.
 - 66. Lassila V, Holmlund I, Koivumaa KK. Bite force and its correlations in different denture types. *Acta odontologica Scandinavica*. 1985;43(3):127-32.
 - 67. Leake JL, Hawkins R, Locker D. Social and functional impact of reduced posterior dental units in older adults. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1994;21(1):1-10.
 - 68. Liedberg B, Stoltze K, Owall B. The masticatory handicap of wearing removable dentures in elderly men. *Gerodontology*. 2005;22(1):10-6.
 - 69. Montero J, Bravo M, López-Valverde A. Development of a specific indicator of the well-being of wearers of removable dentures. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*. 2011;39(6):515-24.
 - 70. Montero J, Castillo-Oyagüe R, Lynch CD, Albaladejo A, Castaño A. Self-perceived changes in oral health-related quality of life after receiving different types of conventional prosthetic treatments: a cohort follow-up study. *Journal of dentistry*. 2013;41(6):493-503.
 - 71. Müller S, Eickholz P, Reitmeir P, Eger T. Long-term tooth loss in periodontally compromised but treated patients according to the type of prosthodontic treatment. A retrospective study. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 2013;40(5):358-67.
 - 72. Peršić S, Čelebić A. Influence of different prosthodontic rehabilitation options on oral health-related quality of life, orofacial esthetics and chewing function based on patient-reported outcomes. *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation*. 2015;24(4):919-26.

73. Piwowarczyk A, Köhler KC, Bender R, Büchler A, Lauer HC, Ottl P. Prognosis for abutment teeth of removable dentures: a retrospective study. *Journal of prosthodontics : official journal of the American College of Prosthodontists*. 2007;16(5):377-82.
74. Rehmann P, Orbach K, Ferger P, Wöstmann B. Treatment outcomes with removable partial dentures: a retrospective analysis. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2013;26(2):147-50.
75. Rehmann P. Retrospektive Longitudinalstudie über die langfristige Bewährung von Teleskopprothesen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Instandhaltungskosten. 2006;
76. Sanchez-Ayala A, Ambrosano GM, Rodrigues Garcia RC. Influence of length of occlusal support on masticatory function of free-end removable partial dentures. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2012;25(5):472-9.
77. Schmitt J, Wichmann M, Eitner S, Hamel J, Holst S. Five-year clinical follow-up of prefabricated precision attachments: a comparison of uni- and bilateral removable dental prostheses. *Quintessence international (Berlin, Germany : 1985)*. 2011;42(5):413-8.
78. Shoi K, Fueki K, Usui N, Taira M, Wakabayashi N. Influence of posterior dental arch length on brain activity during chewing in patients with mandibular distal extension removable partial dentures. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 2014;41(7):486-95.
79. Shugars DA, Bader JD, White BA, Scurria MS, Hayden WJ, Garcia RI. Survival rates of teeth adjacent to treated and untreated posterior bounded edentulous spaces. *Journal of the American Dental Association (1939)*. 1998;129(8):1089-95.
80. Stober T, Bermejo JL, Beck-Mussoter J, Seche AC, Lehmann F, Koob J, Rammelsberg P. Clinical performance of conical and electroplated telescopic double crown-retained partial dentures: a randomized clinical study. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2012;25(3):209-16.
81. Swelem AA, Gurevich KG, Fabrikant EG, Hassan MH, Aqou S. Oral health-related quality of life in partially edentulous patients treated with removable, fixed, fixed-removable, and implant-supported prostheses. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2014;27(4):338-47.
82. Szentpétery AG, John MT, Slade GD, Setz JM. Problems reported by patients before and after prosthodontic treatment. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2005;18(2):124-31.
83. Tada S, Ikebe K, Matsuda K, Maeda Y. Multifactorial risk assessment for survival of abutments of removable partial dentures based on practice-based longitudinal study. *Journal of dentistry*. 2013;41(12):1175-80.
84. Tumrasvin W, Fueki K, Yanagawa M, Asakawa A, Yoshimura M, Ohyama T. Masticatory function after unilateral distal extension removable partial denture treatment: intra-individual comparison with opposite dentulous side. *Journal of medical and dental sciences*. 2005;52(1):35-41.
85. Tuominen R, Ranta K, Paunio I. Wearing of removable partial dentures in relation to periodontal pockets. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1989;16(2):119-26.
86. Van Waas M, Meeuwissen J, Meuwissen R, Käyser A, Kalk W, Van 't Hof M. Relationship between wearing a removable partial denture and satisfaction in the elderly. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*. 1994;22(5 Pt 1):315-8.
87. Vermeulen AH, Keltjens HM, van't Hof MA, Kayser AF. Ten-year evaluation of removable partial dentures: survival rates based on retreatment, not wearing and replacement. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*. 1996;76(3):267-72.
88. Wagner B, Kern M. Clinical evaluation of removable partial dentures 10 years after insertion: success rates, hygienic problems, and technical failures. *Clinical oral investigations*. 2000;4(2):74-80.
89. Wayler AH, Muench ME, Kapur KK, Chauncey HH. Masticatory performance and food acceptability in persons with removable partial dentures, full dentures and intact natural dentition. *Journal of gerontology*. 1984;39(3):284-9.
90. Wenz HJ, Hertrampf K, Lehmann KM. Clinical longevity of removable partial dentures retained by telescopic crowns: outcome of the double crown with clearance fit. *The International journal of prosthodontics*. 2001;14(3):207-13.

91. Wickert M, John MT, Schierz O, Hirsch C, Aarabi G, Reissmann DR. Sensitivity to change of oral and general health-related quality of life during prosthodontic treatment. *European journal of oral sciences*. 2014;122(1):70-7.
92. Witter DJ, Creugers NH, Kreulen CM, de Haan AF. Occlusal stability in shortened dental arches. *Journal of dental research*. 2001;80(2):432-6.
93. Witter DJ, de Haan AF, Käyser AF, van Rossum GM. A 6-year follow-up study of oral function in shortened dental arches. Part I: Occlusal stability. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1994;21(2):113-25.
94. Witter DJ, De Haan AF, Käyser AF, Van Rossum GM. A 6-year follow-up study of oral function in shortened dental arches. Part II: Craniomandibular dysfunction and oral comfort. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1994;21(4):353-66.
95. Witter DJ, De Haan AF, Käyser AF, Van Rossum GM. Shortened dental arches and periodontal support. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1991;18(3):203-12.
96. Witter DJ, Kreulen CM, Mulder J, Creugers NH. Signs and symptoms related to temporomandibular disorders--Follow-up of subjects with shortened and complete dental arches. *Journal of dentistry*. 2007;35(6):521-7.
97. Witter DJ, Van Elteren P, Käyser AF, Van Rossum GM. Oral comfort in shortened dental arches. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1990;17(2):137-43.
98. Witter DJ, van Elteren P, Käyser AF, van Rossum MJ. The effect of removable partial dentures on the oral function in shortened dental arches. *Journal of oral rehabilitation*. 1989;16(1):27-33.
99. Wöstmann B, Balkenhol M, Weber A, Ferger P, Rehmann P. Long-term analysis of telescopic crown retained removable partial dentures: survival and need for maintenance. *Journal of dentistry*. 2007;35(12):939-45.
100. Yanagawa M, Fueki K, Ohyama T. Influence of length of food platform on masticatory performance in patients missing unilateral mandibular molars with distal extension removable partial dentures. *Journal of medical and dental sciences*. 2004;51(2):115-9.
101. Yli-Urpo A, Lappalainen R, Huuskonen O. Frequency of damage to and need for repairs of removable dentures. *Proceedings of the Finnish Dental Society. Suomen Hammaslaakariseuran toimituksia*. 1985;81(3):151-5.
102. Campbell SD, Cooper L, Craddock H, Hyde TP, Nattress B, Pavitt SH, Seymour DW. Removable partial dentures: The clinical need for innovation. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2017 Sep;118(3):273-280.
103. Metal versus Acrylic Partial Removable Dentures for Patients with Periodontal Disease: A Review of the Clinical Effectiveness and Guidelines. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2016 Feb 8.
104. Bhola S, Hellyer PH, Radford DR. The importance of communication in the construction of partial dentures. *Br Dent J*. 2018 Jun 8;224(11):853-856.
105. Efeoglu C, Coşkun G. Management Issues of a Patient who Ingested a Denture: a Case Report. *Acta Stomatologica Croatica [Internet]*. 2011 Jun [cited 2019 Jan 30];45(2):131–4.
106. Mohanty, H. S., Shirodkar, K., Patil, A. R., Mallarajapatna, G., Kumar, S., Deepak, K. C., & Nandikoor, S. Oesophageal perforation as a complication of ingested partial denture. *BJR case reports*. 2016, 2(4), 20150348.
107. Gallas M, Blanco M, Martinez-Ares D, Rivo E, García-Fontán E, Cañizares M. Unnoticed swallowing of a unilateral removable partial denture. *Gerodontology*. 2012 Jun;29(2):e1198-200.
108. R Singh, S Varshney, S Bist, N Gupta. An iatrogenic esophageal perforation with dentures: How Does It Happen?. *The Internet Journal of Head and Neck Surgery*. 2007 Volume 2 Number 2.
109. D. Agrawal, T. K. Lahiri, Abhijot Parmar, Shweta Sharma. Swallowed Partial Dentures. *Indian Journal of Dental Sciences*. 2012 Issue:2, Vol.:4.